

ARUN DISTRICT COUNCIL

REPORT TO AND DECISION OF PLANNING POLICY COMMITTEE ON 25 JANUARY 2022

REPORT

SUBJECT: CIL Infrastructure Investment Plan (IIP 2022-2024)

REPORT AUTHOR: Kevin Owen, Planning Policy Team Leader
DATE: December 2021
EXTN: x 37853
AREA: Planning

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

This report seeks agreement to the draft Infrastructure Investment Plan (a three-year programme 2022-2024) setting out how Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) funds will be prioritised for delivery of infrastructure projects and referral of the IIP to Full Council for approval.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

That Planning Policy Committee:-

1. Agrees the Arun Infrastructure Investment Plan (for the period 2022-2024) be referred to Full Council for approval and publication on the Council's web site.

1. BACKGROUND:

- 1.1 Arun District Council became a Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Charging Authority in April 2020. A CIL Governance process was approved at Full Council on 25th January 2021 which set out how CIL receipts will be prioritised and allocated towards infrastructure projects. This process includes Arun District Council undertaking informal consultations with infrastructure providers and Town/Parish Councils inviting project bids and supporting evidence, to help identify infrastructure projects eligible for funding and prioritisation.
- 1.2 Following assessment and prioritisation, projects that meet the assessment criteria should be included in a three-year CIL funding programme for the period 2022 - 2024. This programme is called the Infrastructure Investment Plan (IIP).
- 1.3 The draft IIP attached at Appendix 1 includes the shortlist of 5 priority projects identified for funding (i.e. green projects using a red amber green coding for the assessment i.e. RAG assessment); and the proposed 3 year spend apportionment for those projects.

- 1.4 Appendix 2 includes the 'long list' or baseline list of all project bids (with RAG assessment) which will be kept under review as the status and priority of projects changes over time, gaining evidence to meet eligibility criteria for IIP prioritisation.
- 1.5 It should also be noted that, in preparing the draft IIP, two stages of informal consultation (April and July 2021) and a formal six week consultation (October 2021) with stakeholders, has taken place including the Parish and Town Councils.
- 1.6 Further monitoring of CIL receipts (e.g. held, or value of demand and liability notices) has also been undertaken to guide the prioritisation work and will continue to be closely monitored each year of the IIP in order to set a realistic funding programme - applying a cap upwards or downwards as necessary, to reflect CIL income. This is necessary to ensure that projects can be funded and minimises the risk of projects being prioritised but subsequently finding that CIL monies are not available at the level forecast.
- 1.7 The next stages of the preparing the IIP involve reporting and agreement at this Committee, for subsequent referral to Full Council for approval on 9 March 2022. The three year IIP programme will take a light touch update over the first two years of operation and a fuller update in year 3 in order to set the future spending priorities for the following 3 year IIP programme.
- 1.8 That the draft IIP set out in Appendix 1 should be agreed as the basis for Arun District Council's funding priorities over the next three years.

8. PROPOSAL(S):

That the Planning Policy Committee agrees the draft Arun IIP is forwarded to Full Council for approval and publishing on the Council's web site.

3. OPTIONS:

- 8.1 That the Planning Policy Committee:-
- a) agrees the report conclusion in section 1.6 or
 - b) the report conclusion is not agreed.

4. CONSULTATION:

Has consultation been undertaken with:	YES	NO
Relevant Town/Parish Council	x	
Relevant District Ward Councillors		x
Other groups/persons (please specify)		x

5. ARE THERE ANY IMPLICATIONS IN RELATION TO THE FOLLOWING COUNCIL POLICIES: (Explain in more detail at 6 below)	YES	NO
Financial	x	
Legal		x
Human Rights/Equality Impact Assessment		x
Community Safety including Section 17 of Crime & Disorder Act		x
Sustainability	x	
Asset Management/Property/Land		x
Technology		x
Other (please explain)		x

6. IMPLICATIONS:

This report sets out draft IIP 2022 - 2024 setting out the prioritisation of CIL funding towards infrastructure projects covering the three-year period 2022 - 2024. The IIP will be reviewed in 2024 to roll it forward for a further three years. Consultation has been undertaken with all infrastructure provider stakeholders, including Town and Parish Councils. The IIP prioritisation reflects the delivery of key infrastructure priorities within the adopted Arun Local Plan (2018) to ensure sustainable development is supported by necessary infrastructure mitigation.

7. REASON FOR THE DECISION:

The Council needs to ensure CIL receipts are funding infrastructure projects mitigation the impacts of non-strategic scale developments and that this is done in a transparent way.

8. BACKGROUND PAPERS:

None.

Appendix 1: CIL Infrastructure Investment Programme Shortlist of Scheme and Spending Apportionment

‘Shortlist’ schedule of projects that have been prioritised (Green) for funding in the IIP programme period (2022/23 -2024/25) Yr1 Yr2 and Yr 3.

ID No.	Project	Projected Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£)	Consultation Update	Total cost of CIL required minus secured funding (£)	Proposed Funding Arrangement	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source
7/ADC/GI	Eldon Way POS, Littlehampton – provision of MUGA and landscape improvements OS1,PAG (ELD Parks service internal code for earmarking allocation of funds received (clarify how this relates to the relocation of the Keystone Centre))	£94k	£35,666 received allocated and available to spend on the project. potentially more funding available under s106 linked to the ‘general POS/Play area’ project codes, which is £22,326 earmarked to Eldon way POS	Between a) feasibility and b) preliminary design	£36k	CIL S106	Within 1 year	Promoted by ADC	Parks & Greenspace CIL spending list 2021
18/ADC/TI	Route 8: Active travel route (safe cycling) from Arundel to Littlehampton via Ford Station between A259 and A27 Linked with 37PC Note: Arundel Ford Scheme to LTN120 Standard significant cost initial WSCC feasibility WSP £3.84m+	£1.9-2.2 million Option 8a) £1.9m Option 8b £2.2m	£0 No s.106 June 2021	Active Travel/LCWIP A Steering Group has been established, comprising representatives from WSCC, National Highways, Arun District Council and Arundel Town Council. National Highways indicate found £15,000 from their Designated Funds to enable the WSP Consultancy to do some work on the route this financial year. The purpose of this work is to enable a new business case to be prepared to obtain National Highways’ contribution to the pathway	£1.9m – £2.2m Based on upper cost range:- a) c) feasibility/preliminary design £100k d) detailed design £100k e) Construction £2m 50%=£1m e) Construction £2m 50%=£1m	CIL S106	Tbc – to discuss with WSCC Yr1 Yr2 Yr3+ Yr4 IIP2	Promoted by ADC WSCC	Active Travel Study (one of 5 priorities) Highways England Ford to Arundel Study Feb 2020 WSP Highways England Designated Funds -A27 NMU link improvements package Ford to Arundel 2020. Project number 70055187 WSCC included Arundel to Ford section within LCWIP prioritisation work supported by Arundel Parish Council See also

				costs from their Designated Funds. We have held informal conversations locally with the owners of the land adjacent to the Ford Road who have confirmed that they would be prepared to make available for the safe cycle pathway.					
40/PC/	Natural oak play equipment for Priory Pocket Park and a lockable display noticeboard	£5,660 Project cost: £6,660	Friends of Priory Pocket Park aim to contribute £1,000 (not confirmed)	Quote included QTE562/20 June 2020 £8,020 £1,000 raised.	£5,660	CIL	Yr1	Promoted by Arundel Parish Council	Arundel CIL Spending List 2021
12/IDP/WM	Reconfiguration of Westhampnett transfer station/household waste recycling site to increase capacity to meet future demand. 100% of Arun's residual waste is bulked up for onward treatment/disposal.	£2.5m (£5 million in total to be split 50:50 with Chichester District)	Funds received via s106 £50,972 as of Nov 2021	CIL Indicative funding for Arun should be £200k £1.125m £1.125m Initial design x 4 options prepared and 2 now being considered for the redesign/reconfiguration of the Westhampnett site. Indicative costs, c£4.5m and £5.2m (plus professional fees). c£2.5m for Arun estimate of project preparation and delivery costs	£2.45m	a)c) £50k feasibility preliminary design d) £1.2m detailed design e) £1.2m Construction	Medium Term Yr1 Yr2 Yr3	Promoted by ADC, WSCC and Chichester District Council	ICSDP, 2017 and resubmitted by WSCC in 2021. Outline business case approved internally at WSCC. Capital Programme for recommendation for approval at Council in February 2022. Added WSCC Waste Asset Strategy. Chichester District Council Essential in IBP (IBP710 £250k 2022/23 and £2.25m

				<p>TBC:- A to C10% of costs, D 10% of cost and E 80% of the cost.</p> <p>Project is funded by two authorities so fund drawing down may vary between the authorities.</p> <p>Funds received via s106 of £42,472 in Arun (FP9204 site 6). S106 £20,000 in Chichester District.</p> <p>The previous information identified that the project was to be delivered over two years, as set out in the Chichester IBP. This is now being requested to be amended for delivery over three years using CIL from each authority.</p>					2023/24)
16/IDP/ES	Relocation or redevelopment of Littlehampton Fire Station FD2	2 bay fire station is £5.4m, 3 bay £7.0m.	£7,527 (LU/355/10) £0 No s.106 November 2021 collected specifically for this project to date.	Cost estimates via independent study for new fire stations, based on 2 bay fire station c£5.4m or 3 bays would be c£7m. estimate of project preparation and delivery costs, to be confirmed – a-c10% of costs, D 10% of cost and e 80% of cost	£5.4-£7.0m	WSCC Capital/CIL Estimated a) feasibility to c) preliminary design £100k d) detailed design £100k e) Construction £1.232m	TBC Yr1 Yr2 Yr3	Promoted by ADC and WSCC	ICSDP, 2017 and resubmitted by WSCC in 2021. To be included in Community Risk Management Plan 3-5yr period 2022-2027 - as high priority (enable Fire Service to meet stat duties).

						e) Constructio n £3.77m to £5.37m	Yr4+ IIP2		CRMP consultation autumn 2021
--	--	--	--	--	--	---	-----------	--	--

Proposed IIP Prioritisation allocation of CIL based on Green Scoring Projects								
Rounded figures		Yr1	Y2	Yr3			Comment	
20% ADC CIL		410,817	634,769	652,650		1,698,236	Total forecast	
		275,247	425,295	437,276		1,137,818	Cap to 2/3	
						560,418	Difference	
7 ADC GI Eldon Way play/MUG		36,000	0	0			7 ADC GI Eldon Way net of updated s.106 contribution	
18/ADC/TI (Covers 37 PC Arund		100,000	100,000	896,158			Route 8 Option a) £1.9m and Option b) £2.2m Yr3 41% to 47% of Construction cost	
Sub total		136,000	100,000	896,158			Review CIL forecast annually - adjust cap accordingly. Should forecast be substantiated, potential £560,418 be reallocated to 18/ADC/TI	
ADC Cross funding Parish CIL list								
40 PC Natural oak play equipm		5,660	0	0				
Cumulative total		141,660	100,000	896,158				
Residual		133,587	325,295	-458,883		458,883	Cumulative CIL residual Y1-Y2 to offset deficit to zero	
				Offset to		0		
		Yr1	Y2	Yr3			Comment	
70% WSCC CIL		1,437,861	2,221,694	2,284,275		5,943,830	Total forecast	
		963,367	1,488,535	1,530,464		3,982,366	Cap to 2/3	
						1,961,464	Difference	
12 IDP WM - Reconfiguration o		50,000	1,224,500	1,224,500			Project cost (50% ADC/50%CDC) £2.5m ADC share.*	
16 IDP ES - Relocation or redev		100,000	100,000	1,232,366			Project cost £5.4m to £7m ADC Dependent on 2 bay or 3 bay.	
							Review CIL forecast annually - adjust cap accordingly.	
Cumulative total		150,000	1,324,500	2,456,866			Should forecast be substantiated, potential £1,961,454 be reallocated to 16 IDP ES	
Residual		813,367	164,035	-926,402		977,402	Cumulative CIL residual Y1-Y2 to offset deficit to zero	
				Offset to*		51,000	*Net of £50.9k s.106 = £2.45m (i.e. £1,224,500 in Y2 and in yr 3)	
		Yr1	Y2	Yr3			Comment	
10% Other		205,400	317,384	326,325		849,109	Total forecast	
		137,618	212,647	218,638		568,903	Cap to 2/3	
						280,206	Difference	
N/A		0	0	0			Bank £568,903 for Emergency Services for IIP2.	
N/A		0	0	0			Improvements to Bognor Regis Police Station (£1.79m CIL contribution)	
							Improvements Littlehampton Police Station (£899,900 CIL contribution)	
Cumulative total		0	0	0				
Residual		137,618	212,647	218,638		350,265	Cumulative CIL residual Y1-Y2 to be used to offset if deficit to zero	
				Offset to		568,903		

Appendix 2: 'Long List' (Baseline) of IIP projects (RAG assessment)

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.											
Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Projected Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). ¹	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). ²	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
Green infrastructure	1/IDP/GI	Arundel to Littlehampton Green Link Walking and Cycle provision along the River Arun between Littlehampton and Arundel	£9.8m and £15.8m for the main route and a further £1.4m for various connecting elements.	£62k from business rate pool No s.106 June 2021	None	£9.74m - £15.74m	CIL and other contributions tbc	A contribution of approximately £2-3 million would be requested in the short to medium term – 2-5 years.	Promoted by ADC Economic Development. Greenspace; Town and Parish Councils; Environment Agency	ICSDP, 2017 Arun Cycleway Feasibility Study (presented to Littlehampton Regeneration Sub-Committee, March 2021).	Essential
	2/IDP/GI	Felpham Rife Countryside Park – links to BEW rife parkland and old canal	£3.5 million £115,000 per annum maintenance	£0 No s.106 June 2021	None	£3.5 million £115,000 per annum maintenance	Anticipate S.106 from BEW cover majority cost CIL and other contributions tbc	Tbc -in line with BEW Rife parkland	Promoted by ADC Greenspace; BEW site promoters; landowners; Environment Agency	ICSDP, 2017 Green Infrastructure Study 2012 Bognor Regis GI Framework A Landscape & Green Infrastructure Framework Connecting Bognor Regis to the South Downs National Park	Essential
	3/IDP/GI	Urban Greening Project North Bersted	£10k	£0	None	£10k	CIL and parish CIL tbc	2023-2024	Promoted by ADC Parks and Greenspace	ICSDP, 2017 Green Infrastructure Study 2012 Greenspace CIL spending list 2021	Essential
	4/IDP/GI	Urban Greening Project Wick, Littlehampton	£10k	£0	None	£10k	CIL and parish CIL tbc	2023-2024	Promoted by ADC Parks and Greenspace	ICSDP, 2017 Green Infrastructure Study 2012 Greenspace CIL spending list 2021	Essential

¹ Column 5 Funding Secured (£): **Agreed** (on a signed s.106) **Secured** (Certainty- trigger for payment met) **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

² Column 7 Net CIL required i.e. net of s.106 or other contributions set out in column 5.

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.

Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Projected Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). ¹	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). ²	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
	5/ADC/GI	Gateway to South Downs National Park (SM10) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Enhanced pedestrian/cycle/bridle access. - New shared pedestrian/cycle/bridle route linking the housing developments to the underpass. - Tree Planting and wildflower verge to path. - Lighting, surfacing and signage enhancements to underpass. New pedestrian crossing	£280k	£0 No s.106 June 2021	None	£280k	CIL S106 North/South Consortium	In line with Fontwell Strategic Allocation WA/48/19/RES	Promoted by ADC South Downs National Park Authority	Bognor Regis GI Framework A Landscape & Green Infrastructure Framework Connecting Bognor Regis to the South Downs National Park	
	6/ADC/GI	New (South Downs) north-south pedestrian/cycle/bridle route (SM9) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - New shared pedestrian/cycle/bridle route. - Avenue tree planting. Wildflower verge to path.	£1.1m	£0 No s.106 June 2021	None	£1.1m	CIL S106 North/South Consortium	In line with Fontwell Strategic Allocation WA/48/19/RES	Promoted by ADC South Downs National Park Authority	Bognor Regis GI Framework A Landscape & Green Infrastructure Framework Connecting Bognor Regis to the South Downs National Park	
	7/ADC/GI	Eldon Way POS, Littlehampton – provision of MUGA and landscape improvements OS1,PAG (ELD Parks service internal code for earmarking allocation of funds received (clarify how this relates to the relocation of the Keystone Centre))	£94k	£35,666 received allocated and available to spend on the project. potentially more funding available under s106 linked to the 'general POS/Play area' project codes, which is £22,326 earmarked to Eldon way POS	Between a) feasibility and b) preliminary design	£36k	CIL S106	Within 1 year	Promoted by ADC	Parks & Greenspace CIL spending list 2021	
	8/ADC/GI	Hotham Park play area improvements	£60k	£0 No s.106	None	£60k	CIL	Within 1 year	Promoted by ADC	Parks & Greenspace CIL spending list 2021	
	9/ADC/GI	King George V (KGV) Recreation Ground, Felpham – pitch improvements S106 project code is PAX	£300k	£0 Agreed £159,600	None	£140,400	CIL	2024-2025	Promoted by ADC	Parks & Greenspace CIL spending list 2021	
	10/ADC/GI	Lions Den, Littlehampton – play area improvements	£60K	£0 No s.106	None	£60k	CIL	Within 2 years	Promoted by ADC	Parks & Greenspace CIL spending list 2021	
	11/ADC/GI	Southfields Recreation Ground, Littlehampton – pitch improvements S106 project code is SPE	£100k	£0 Secured £19,353		£80,647	CIL	2023-2024.	Promoted by ADC	Parks & Greenspace CIL spending list 2021	

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.

Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Projected Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). ¹	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). ²	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
Waste Management	12/IDP/WM	Reconfiguration of Westhampnett transfer station/household waste recycling site to increase capacity to meet future demand. 100% of Arun's residual waste is bulked up for onward treatment/disposal.	£2.5m (£5 million in total to be split 50:50 with Chichester District)	Funds received via s106 £50,972 as of Nov 2021	<p>WSCC propose CIL Indicative funding for Arun should be</p> <p>£200k</p> <p>£1.125m</p> <p>£1.125m</p> <p>Initial design x 4 options prepared and 2 now being considered for the redesign/reconfiguration of the Westhampnett site.</p> <p>Indicative costs, c£4.5m and £5.2m (plus professional fees).</p> <p>c£2.5m for Arun estimate of project preparation and delivery costs TBC: - A to C10% of costs, D 10% of cost and E 80% of the cost.</p> <p>Project is funded by two authorities so fund draw down drawing down may vary between the authorities.</p> <p>Funds received via s106 of £42,472 in Arun (FP9204 site 6). S106 £20,000 in Chichester District.</p> <p>The previous information identified that the project was to be delivered over two years, as set out in the Chichester IBP. This is now being requested to be amended for delivery over three years using CIL from each authority.</p>	£2.45m	<p>a)c) £50k feasibility preliminary design</p> <p>d) £1.2m detailed design</p> <p>e) £1.2m Construction</p>	<p>Medium Term</p> <p>Yr1</p> <p>Yr2</p> <p>Yr3</p>	Promoted by ADC, WSCC and Chichester District Council	<p>ICSDP, 2017 and resubmitted by WSCC in 2021. Outline business case approved internally at WSCC. Capital Programme for recommendation for approval at Council in February 2022. Added WSCC Waste Asset Strategy.</p> <p>Chichester District Council Essential in IBP (IBP710 £250k 2022/23 and £2.25m 2023/24)</p>	High Priority

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.

Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Projected Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). ¹	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). ²	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
Leisure	13/IDP/L	New District Leisure Centre Potentially project codes SPG or SWI could be used	Up to £30 million based on current estimates.	£0 Agreed £624,519 If some or all of these projects can be used <u>£582,267</u> net of existing ALC refurbishment* [*Received £42,252]	None	£29.42m	CIL required to part fund. Other funding is expected from S106 agreements and possible opportunity to apply for Sport England funding.	2028- 2030	Promoted by ADC WSCC Leisure	ICSDP, 2017 and resubmitted by Leisure in 2021	High Priority
Emergency Services	15/IDP/ES	Expansion and Improvements to Bognor Police Station POB, POT, POV, POG, PON	£1,920,000	£117,415 through S106 Agreed £231,359 (A proportion - multiple potential projects share these Police contributions) Secured £22,710 (A proportion) Received £16,212 (A proportion)	None	£1.79m	CIL S106	2024/25- commencement	Promoted by ADC and Sussex Police	ICSDP, 2017 and resubmitted by Sussex Police in 2021	Essential
	16/IDP/ES	Relocation or redevelopment of Littlehampton Fire Station FD2	2 bay fire station is £5.4m, 3 bay £7.0m.	£0 No s.106 November 2021 collected specifically for this project to date.	Yes. Cost estimates via independent study for new fire stations, based on 2 bay fire station c£5.4m or 3 bay would be c£7m. estimate of project preparation and delivery costs, to be confirmed – a-c10% of costs, D 10% of cost and e 80% of cost	£5.4-£7.0m	WSCC Capital/CIL Estimated a) feasibility to c) preliminary design £100k d) detailed design £100k e) Construction £1.232m e) Construction £3.77m to £5.37m	Tbc Yr1 Yr2 Yr 3+ Yr4+ IIP2	Promoted by ADC and WSCC	ICSDP, 2017 and resubmitted by WSCC in 2021. To be included in Community Risk Management Plan 3-5 year period 2022-2027 -as high priority (enable Fire Service to met stat duties). CRMP consultation autumn 2021	Essential
	17/IDP/ES	Littlehampton Police Station redevelopment PO2, PO3, POA, POZ	£1,222,000	£229,352 through S106 Agreed £322,117 (A proportion - multiple potential	None.	£899,883	CIL S106	2024/25	Promoted by ADC and Sussex Police capital program.	ICSDP, 2017 and resubmitted by Sussex Police in 2021.	Essential

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.

Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Projected Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). ¹	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). ²	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
				projects share some of these Police contributions)							
Transport Infrastructure	18/ADC/TI	Route 8: Active travel route (safe cycling) from Arundel to Littlehampton via Ford Station between A259 and A27 Linked with 37PC Note: Arundel Ford Scheme to LTN120 Standard significant cost initial WSCC feasibility WSP £3.84m+	£1.9-2.2 million Option 8a) £1.9m Option 8b £2.2m	£0 No s.106 June 2021	Active Travel/ LCWIP A Steering Group established, (WSCC, National Highways, Arun District Council and Arundel Town Council). National Highways indicate £15,000 Designated Funds for WSP Consultancy work on business case this financial year to obtain National Highways' contribution to the pathway costs. Informal engagement with the owners adjacent to the Ford Road, confirmed prepared to make available for the safe cycle pathway.	£1.9m – £2.2m Based on upper cost range: - a)c) feasibility/preliminary design £100k d) detailed design £100k e) Construction (£2m):- £896k e) Construction (£2m):- £604k - £904k	CIL S106	Tbc – to discuss with WSCC Yr1 Yr2 Yr3 Yr4 IIP2	Promoted by ADC WSCC National Highways Note Kingston Parish council Objection to elements of route options on Elmer road Kingston Lane and use of Prow involving private land.	Active Travel Study (one of 5 priorities) Highways England Ford to Arundel Study Feb 2020 WSP Highways England Designated Funds -A27 NMU link improvements package Ford to Arundel 2020. Project number 70055187 WSCC included Arundel to Ford section within LCWIP prioritisation work supported by Arundel Parish Council See also 37PC	
	19/ADC/TI	Route 2: Active travel route Fontwell to Felpham	£590,000	£0 No s.106 June 2021	Active Travel.	£590,000	CIL S106	To align with Fontwell and Northern BEW site	Promoted by ADC WSCC	Active Travel Study (one of 5 priorities)	
	20/ADC/TI	Route 6: Active Travel Route Ford- North Mundham	£3,352,500	£0 No s.106 June 2021	Active Travel	£3,352,500	CIL S106	To align with Ford, Yapton and BEW strategic sites	Promoted by ADC WSCC	Active Travel Study (one of 5 priorities)	
	21/ADC/TI	Route 9: Active Travel Route – Littlehampton - Goring by Sea NCN2 - inbound option	£2,500,000	£0 No s.106 June 2021	Active Travel	£2,500,000	CIL S106		Promoted by ADC WSCC	Active Travel Study (one of 5 priorities)	
	22/ADC/TI	Route 12: Active travel route (safe cycling) - Aldwick Region	£3,326,000	£0 No s.106 June 2021	Active Travel	£3,326,000	CIL S106	To align with West of Bersted allocation	Promoted by ADC WSCC	Active Travel Study (one of 5 priorities)	
	23/ADC/TI	Ford Lane Level Crossing	£9.1m	£3m requested (not secured) No s.106 as of June 2021	None	£9.1	S106	Tbc and in alignment with Ford allocation.	Promoted by ADC WSCC	Transport Apportionment	
	24/ADC/TI	A259 Oystercatcher Improvements HWU	£5.8m Project part	£3.653m Agreed £1,384,136	Yes.	£4,415,864	S106	Tbc	Promoted by ADC	Transport Apportionment	

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.

Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Projected Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). ¹	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). ²	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
		Project part of 26/ADC/TI – see project 26/ADC/TI for overall project details	of 26/ADC/TI – see project 26/ADC/TI for overall project details	Project part of 26/ADC/TI – see project 26/ADC/TI for overall project details	Project part of 26/ADC/TI – see project 26/ADC/TI for overall project details	Project part of 26/ADC/TI – see project 26/ADC/TI for overall project details	Project part of 26/ADC/TI – see project 26/ADC/TI for overall project details	Project part of 26/ADC/TI – see project 26/ADC/TI for overall project details	WSCC		
	25/ADC/TI	A29 Realignment HWA	£66.259m Phase 1 £19.163m and Phase 2 47.096mm	9.9m Grant funding from Coast to Capital LEP WSCC £11.9m	Yes.		LEP Grant funding, WSCC and S106 £44.459m will be sought from Fontwell, West Bersted and BEW strategic developments	Tbc and in alignment with BEW.	Promoted by ADC WSCC Phase 1 - WSCC Phase 2 – BEW Developers	Transport Apportionment	
	26/ADC/TI	A259 Corridor Improvement scheme (Bognor Regis – Littlehampton) H04	£30m	Bid for £25m to DfT. Minimum of 15% funding will be required from local sources.	Yes. West Sussex County Council consultation June – August 2021	TBC	DfT and local sources TBC	Tbc – project ongoing and led by WSCC	Promoted by ADC WSCC	Transport Apportionment	
	27/ADC/TI	A284 Lyminster Bypass North	£27.334m	Agreed £3.761m S106 Secured £1.123m S106 received Received £3m grant funding	Yes.	N/A.	S106, Grant and WSCC	Tbc	Promoted by ADC WSCC	Transport Apportionment	
	31/PC/	Urban Greening project for a tree lined avenue in Bognor Regis (Shripney road)	£5-6k	£725	BRTC clarify cost estimate from ADC. BRT consider scheme may be a manageable cost for BRTC, to be part-funded by CIL receipts within five years of receipt. Longer term if more cost implications would look for CIL. No contact has yet been made with potential joint funding providers	£5-6k	Part funded by CIL BRTC	Within current IIP	Promoted by Bognor Town Council ADC	BRTC CIL Spending list 2021	
	32/PC/	Fletchers Field refurbishment and upgrade (Angmering)	£50,000-£70,000	£0	None.	£50-70,000	CIL		Promoted by Angmering Parish Council ADC parks	Angmering CIL spending list 2021	
	37/PC	Make Ford Road Safe - A cycle and walking route between Arundel and Ford along the Ford road. This project is the Arundel to ford station link -part of 18/ADC/TI Route 8 Arundel	Contribution of £450k over yr1, Yr2 and Y3 (i.e. £150k pa)	£0	Discussions ongoing - likely to be agreed by Nov 2021. Provider 1 Highways England cost contribution 80-90%	See funding allocation under 18/ADC/TI Route 8 which will	CIL	Starting 2022/23	Promoted by Arundel Parish Council WSCC	Arundel CIL Spending List 2021 WSCC LCWIP prioritisation	

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.

Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Projected Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). ¹	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). ²	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
		to Littlehampton A27-A259	Detailed Design Stage cost estimate provided by Highways England £350k (2022/23) Full project delivery cost £4m (2023/24)		Provider 2 WSCC/ADC 9-19% 2022 £31.5 – 66.5k 2023/24 £315 – 950 Provider 3 Arundel Town Council 1% 2022 £3.5k 2023/24 £35-50k	cover ADC CIL contribution Y1 and Yr2			Highways England	process Highways England Designated Funds -A27 NMU link improvements package Ford to Arundel 2020. Project number 70055187.	
	40/PC/	Natural oak play equipment for Priory Pocket Park and a lockable display noticeboard	Project cost: £6,660	£5,660	Friends of Priory Pocket Park aim to contribute £1,000 (not confirmed)	Quote included QTE562/20 June 2020 £8,020 £1,000 raised.	£5,660	CIL	Yr1	Promoted by Arundel Parish Council	Arundel CIL Spending List 2021
	41/PC/	The Lido Extended Activities Plan (LEAP)	LEAP project cost estimated at £3m	£0	Contribution of £150k	No further details to demonstrate costs/procurement. It is unlikely that any detailed analysis will be undertaken until sometime during 2023 and unlikely that any funds to support the process will be required until the latter half of the year, at the earliest.	£150k	CIL	2023	Promoted by Arundel Parish Council Arundel Lido charitable trust who would lead the project Lottery Funding bid	Arundel CIL Spending List 2021 Supported by ADC (Leisure Strategy) and Arundel Town Council (Neighbourhood Plan). South Downs National Park support new leisure. Policy 8 of the Arundel Neighbourhood Plan support additional leisure and community use at the Arundel Lido'.
	42/PC/	Leisure and community route signage in Arundel.	Project cost: £30k	£0	Contribution of £27k	No further details to demonstrate costs/procurement. No agreement of costs with providers in place.	£27k	CIL	July 2022	Promoted by Arundel Parish Council South Downs	Arundel CIL Spending List 2021
	43/PC/	Arundel Green Infrastructure Network	Project cost: £ 10k	£0	Contribution of £9k	No further details to demonstrate costs/procurement. No agreement of costs with providers in place. Arundel would	£9k	CIL	August 2022	Promoted by Arundel Parish Council WSCC South Downs	Arundel CIL Spending List 2021 The need for a Green Infrastructure Network was identified in the

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.

Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Projected Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). ¹	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). ²	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
					allocate £1,000 yr 1					Arundel Neighbourhood Plan	
	45/PC/	Community Building for Proposed Ford Road Development	Contribution of £400k Project cost: £500k	£0 No s.106 June 2021	No further details to demonstrate costs/procurement. No agreement of costs with providers in place.	£400k	CIL S106	2024 Yr3	Promoted by Arundel Parish Council	Arundel CIL Spending List 2021	
	47/PC/	Canada Gardens in Arundel – landscaping and improvements.	Contribution of £4,500. Project cost: £5k	£0	No further details to demonstrate costs.	£4,500	CIL	July 2022	Promoted by Arundel Parish Council	Arundel CIL Spending List 2021	
	48/PC	Upgrade of Horsemere Green Lane – Design Options HW4	£100,000	Agreed £80,000 (in 2 S106's)	None.	£20,000		2021-22	Promoted by Climping Parish Council WSCC	Climping CIL Spending List Significant contributions via s.106 will be delivered by Strategic Allocations to such facilities. CIL receipts will be nominal. More appropriate via WSCC highway improvement funding/ grant regimes.	
	51/PC	Speed Activated sign Chalcraft Lane	£2000 - £2500	£0	None.	£2-2500	CIL	2021	Promoted by Bersted Parish Council WSCC	Bersted CIL spending List	
	52/PC	Flood Defences survey Drain off A29 Little Orchard	Unknown	£0	Map included on area. However, no further details to demonstrate costs. No agreement of costs with providers in place.	Unknown	CIL	2021	Promoted by Bersted Parish Council WSCC ADC Engineers	Bersted CIL spending List	
	53/PC	New or replacement outdoor play/gym equipment	£500-£1000 per item	£0	None. No map provided.	£500-£1000 per item	CIL	2021	Promoted by Bersted Parish Council Sports England ADC parks	Bersted CIL Spending List	
	58/PC	Boules/Petanque at Mewsbrook Park; Outdoor Leisure Equipment	Unknown	0	None.	Unknown	CIL	2025	Promoted by Littlehampton Town Council	Littlehampton CIL Spending List	

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.

Column 1. Infrastructure Type	2. ID No.	3. Project	4. Projected Cost (£)	5. Funding Secured (£). ¹	6. Consultation Update	7. Net CIL (£). ²	8. Proposed Funding Arrangement.	9. Phasing Period	10. Delivery Partners	11. Evidence source	12. Prioritisation rating RAG
									ADC		
	59/PC	Wick Information Centre (WIC)	Unknown	0	None.	Unknown	CIL	Tbc	Promoted by Littlehampton Town Council	Littlehampton CIL Spending List	
	60/PC	North Littlehampton Community Centre & Youth Facility. Request is to extend facilities once built.	Unknown	Delivery of facilities secured through S106	None. No cost procurement info provided.	Unknown	S106 CIL reconsider once facilities are built.	2023	Promoted by Littlehampton Town Council	Littlehampton CIL Spending List	
	61/PC	Cycleway – Pagham to Chichester HW5, HX4	Unknown	Unknown Agreed £317,310 part of which to fund Improvements to FP's 100, 101, 104 & 106 (Pagham to Honer Lane, Mundham) (Check with WSCC)	No further details to demonstrate costs/procurement. WSCC can confirm that a feasibility study is currently being finalised on this route.	Unknown	Part funded by S106 CIL	In line with strategic development	Promoted by Pagham Parish Council. ADC WSCC	Pagham CIL Spending List. Significant contributions via s.106 will be delivered by Strategic Allocations to such facilities. CIL receipts will be nominal. However, see: Route 12: Active travel route (safe cycling) - Aldwick Region at project 22 ADC TI above.	
	67NHS	Primary care centre, Littlehampton	£4 mil Est	£750k from S106 (apps noted on proforma) Potential of approx. £1,037,265 on S106. Currently holding £623,859	Yes.	Tbc	A joint council WSCC and NHS premises build was preferred OPE (One Public Estate) project which WSCC concluded was not viable (for multiple users/stakeholders).	Not specified		NHS Estate Plan	
	68NHS	Grove House GP Practice, Pagham	£2m Est (but if no s106 until 2030, project could reach £4m).	£1.287mil From s106 (apps shown in proforma) Current figures show £1,283,324 due to be received.	Yes.	N/A.	S106 GP owner to pay the difference left.	Not specified.	To be funded as s.106 mitigation of Strategic allocations	NHS Estate Plan Developer s.106	